UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,578	01/24/2002	Hakan Barneman	1381-0284P	3991
2292 7590 09/25/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			KEENAN, JAMES W	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,578	BARNEMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Keenan	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jac</u> 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This assistance this application is in condition for allowangles of the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jac</u> 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action is in condition for allowangles of the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jac</u> 2b) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action is in condition for allowangles of the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jac</u> 2b) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action is in condition for allowangles of the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jac</u> 2condition is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action is the practice under Expensive to the Expensive to the practice under Expensive to the practice under Expensive to the Expensive to the practice under Expensive to the Expensi	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 10-19</u> is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-19</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/053,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/9/08 has been entered.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 10, there is no clear antecedent basis for "the suspension element"; it will be assumed applicant intends to refer to the "first suspension element";

and in line 13, "ate" should be --at--.

The above also applies to claim 18.

Claim 17, line 1, "fist" should be --first--.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein (US 5,230,404, previously cited) in view of Stipan (US 3,072,429).

As noted previously, Klein discloses an apparatus (which, as a collection of parts, is considered a "kit"), comprising guide rail 25, first, second, and third suspension elements 12, 17, 18 fixed to a ceiling of an elevator shaft, suspension 13, hoisting device 14, elevator car 4 with roof 5, overspeed governor 17, wherein the first suspension element carries or supports shaft equipment, the overspeed governor is mounted on the second suspension element, and the third suspension element is used as an auxiliary suspension.

Klein shows a "tool" 16 but it is not a hand held manually operated mounting tool for setting the suspension on the first suspension element or the governor on the second suspension element.

Stipan shows a hand held manually operated tool for placing a rope or cable over a girder that is otherwise out of reach of an operator so that the cable, when installed, can be used as a hoisting device.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Klein by utilizing a hand held manually operated mounting tool for setting the suspension (i.e., cable) on the first suspension element or the governor on the second suspension element, as it is notoriously old and well known to use such a device to attach a hoisting cable in an otherwise inaccessible location.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,578 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

Re claim 19, the use of a second such device for setting the other of the suspension on the first suspension element or the governor on the second suspension element would have been an obvious design expediency.

- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10-17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-6925. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,578 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James Keenan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 9/18/08